| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
|--|---|--|
|  | 09/773,245  | HAMELEERS ET AL.   |
|  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |
|  | Ian N. Moore  | 2616   |
| All Participants:  | Status of Application:  |  |
| (1) <u>Ian N. Moore</u> .  | (3)   |  |
| (2) Sidney L. Weatherford.   | (4)   |  |
| Date of Interview: 2 May 2006  | Time: <u>10:26 AM</u>   |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:   | ant's representative)   |  |
| Part I.  |   |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed: none   |   |  |
| Claims discussed: 42,43,51,52,62,71  |   |  |
| Prior art documents discussed:   |   |  |
| Part II.   |   |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE as set forth in examiner amendment  | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA   | S DISCUSSED:   |
| Part III.  | ·   | •  |
| <ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul> | e examiner will provide a writt<br>record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
| •  |   |  |
| In N mme   |   |  |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)   |   |  |